

BOURNEMOUTH AIRPORT

NOISE ACTION PLAN

<u>2014</u>



bournemouthairport.com

Foreword

Government placed a requirement on certain Airport Operators to prepare a Noise Action Plan in accordance with regulations and guidance. In 2010, we conducted an extensive consultation exercise and submitted our final plan to Government in 2011, which they adopted. We have now reviewed the plan top comply with the EU Noise Directive (2002/49/EC).

The law managing noise, together with the framework and guidelines, is set out at a national and international level. However, many measures to control noise at Bournemouth Airport have been introduced locally. For example, many legally-binding targets, obligations and limits are set out in an Agreement between Christchurch Borough Council and Bournemouth Airport under the terms of Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 that sits alongside the planning permission for the new Terminal buildings.

In preparing this Noise Action Plan we have worked with our Consultative Committee, adjoining Local Authorities, airlines and the General Aviation community and our air traffic control service. We have also, as part of this review, assessed ongoing complaints about noise from our operations to understand if there is anything more that we can do, to reduce our noise impacts, under the requirements of the Noise Action Plan guidance and regulations.

Aviation is essential to the U.K.'s economy and our future prosperity. Bournemouth Airport is widely recognised as an important asset for the regional economy. The challenge we face is how to deliver the benefits of aviation, in terms of the jobs and connectivity it affords, in a way that meets the needs of our customers in a responsible way. This Noise Action Plan is part of this process and represents the continued and open dialogue with our stakeholders and the communities who live around us and are affected by our operations.

The Noise Action Plan will continue to evolve and our targets, policies and procedures will most likely change as we carry out reviews over the life of the Plan. Any changes will be aimed at limiting and reducing, where possible, the number of people affected by noise as a result of the Airport's activity.

Paul Knight Managing Director Bournemouth Airport



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	5
2.	Background	8
3.	Description of the Airport	. 11
4.	Aircraft Noise: Regulatory Framework	. 12
5.	Noise Control at Bournemouth Airport	. 15
6.	Progress with Noise Amelioration at Bournemouth Airport	. 20
7.	Strategic Noise Mapping at Bournemouth Airport	. 25
8.	Summary of Public Consultation Exercise	. 29
9.	Performance Against Noise Reduction Commitments	. 32
10.	The Future Development of the Noise Control Programme	. 36
11.	Conclusion	. 36
12.	Glossary	. 38

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Bournemouth Airport Strategic Noise Mapping Exercise	40
Appendix 2 – Bournemouth First Round Agglomeration	45
Appendix 3 – Section 106 Agreement Extracts	46
Appendix 4 – List of Consultees	51
Appendix 5 – List of Responding Organisations	53
Appendix 6 – Consultation Responses	55
Appendix 7 – 2014 Review Consultation	62
Appendix 8 – Financial Information	64

List of Figures

Figure 1 – WebTrak	17
Figure 2 – Example of Quota Count Calculations for Arriving Aircraft	19
Figure 3 – Total Complaints	21

Figure 4 – Non Multiple Complaints	21
Figure 5 – Multiple Complaints	22
Figure 6 – Complaints by Area	22
Figure 7 – Complaints by Operation	23
Figure 8 – Complaints by Time of Day	23
Figure 9 – Total Quota Count for 2013	23

List of Tables

Table 1 – Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, Lden
Table 2 – Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, Lday27
Table 3 – Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, Levening 27
Table 4 – Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, LAeq, 16h 27
Table 5 – Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, Lnight27

1. Introduction

In 2011, the Bournemouth Airport Noise Action Plan was approved by DEFRA and published. This was produced in response to the Environmental Noise (England) Regulations 2006, as amended (the "Regulations"). These Regulations transposed the EU Environment Noise Directive (2002/49/EC), known as END, relating to the assessment and management of environmental noise into UK legislation and make the preparation of a NAP for a number of different noise sources, including some Airports, a legal requirement. The Plan was prepared following an extensive public consultation exercise, adhering to the guidance at the time

The main aim of END and the Regulations is to provide a common basis for managing noise across Europe. To achieve this, the Regulations require the assessment of noise using standardised methods, to assess the number of people affected. Following production of a draft plan, the public were to be informed of the results and afforded the opportunity to contribute to the development of an action plan, which addresses local noise issues. The guidelines establish that one of the primary purposes of the Action Plan and the supporting noise assessment is to establish if the current noise impact is acceptable under the terms of the guidelines. If the answer is 'yes', it can be assumed that the current noise control measures are adequate. If the Action Plan. As summarised in the table below, we have a wide-ranging programme of noise controls and with the introduction of this programme we have concluded that the current situation is acceptable. Our measures go beyond the strict remit of the NAP and reflect the comments that we have received during consultation.

Key Noise Control Measures	Key Enhancements in NAP
Reducing aircraft noise at source	
BIA has agreed a legally binding limit on the numbers and types of aircraft that may operate at night. This agreement is expressed as a 'quota count'	
Land-use planning	
BIA has a regular liaison committee with the Environmental Health Officers of local district councils to provide information on noise management.	This committee was established as a direct result of the NAP.
Each year for the busy summer season noise	This is a new measure arising as direct

Summary of control measures introduced as a result of the Noise Action Plan 2011.

contours are calculated and published to	result of the NAP.
show now the hoise impact of the Airport is	
Noise Abatement Procedures	
Routing instructions are published instructing pilots of departing aircraft to fly a	These instructions were substantially changed as a direct result of the
track that avoids, as far as is possible, the more densely populated areas, to minimise the impact of noise.	comments received during the consultation of the draft NAP.
Departing aircraft are instructed to climb as steeply as is consistent with safe operations to ensure they achieve maximum height.	
It is common, particularly for training and light aircraft to fly circuits in the vicinity of the Airport. Minimum circuit heights are imposed and circuits are not permitted at night.	The minimum circuit heights were increased from 1,000 feet to 1,200 feet as a direct result of the NAP.
Particularly following maintenance or repair aircraft are required to run their engines whilst they are on the ground. These operations are only permitted in agreed locations on the aerodrome and are prohibited during evenings, night time, Sundays and Public Holidays.	
Wherever possible landing aircraft fly a continuous descent approach (CDA). This technique reduces engine noise and increases altitude.	Closer liaison, as direct result of the NAP, has improved the way in which aircraft operations are co-ordinated between air traffic controllers at Bournemouth and Southampton airports and CDA is now achieved more often.
Key Noise Control Measures	Key Enhancements in NAP
Landing aircraft are instructed to minimise the use of reverse thrust (engine braking).	

Those aircraft that have a quota count of 8 or 16 are not permitted to operate at night and those aircraft with a quota count of 4 are not permitted to schedule operations at night.	
Monitoring and engagement	
BIA was one of the first in the UK to introduce the WebTrak radar replay service, which allows members of the public to replay aircraft operations, to display their identity and altitude.	A number of important amendments were made to the WebTrak system in response to the comments received during the consultation of the NAP.
BIA has a well established complaints procedure to record, investigate, respond and report all instances of noise disturbance.	The noise complaints procedure was significantly enhanced as a result of the NAP, including reducing the response time from 10 working days to 5.
BIA use its web site to make available information including noise monitoring, complaints and information about aircraft operations.	This information has been made more accessible as a result of the NAP and the content is being progressively improved in response to the results of the consultation.

These regulations also require that the Noise Action Plan is reviewed at regular intervals, taking into account results of further noise mapping exercises. As a result of this, we have undertaken this review in line with these requirements. DEFRA has produced guidance outlining requirements with regard to the extent of the review and the consultation requirements. As Bournemouth Airport was involved in the first round of noise action planning, and already has an adopted noise action plan, the guidelines stipulate that we update our plan to take account of any changes that have occurred at the airport. It also requires that we report on results of the noise mapping completed in 2012, and report on progress made against actions outlined, whilst detailing any proposed new actions.

2. Background

Purpose of the Noise Action Plan (NAP)

Noise is one of the principal environmental challenges for the Airport. Evaluating noise impact is difficult as noise disturbance is susceptible to subjective reactions. Whilst noise does not have an effect on the physical environment, it can have significant effects, in particular, on people living close to the Airport in terms of levels of annoyance and disturbance that might affect sleep, communication and learning activities. The onset levels for significant disturbance are discussed in more detail later.

Noise from arriving and departing aircraft is generated both by aircraft engines (from the fans and the exhausts) and the airframe (via the wings, body, flaps, brakes and landing gear). Departing aircraft require a high degree of thrust and so the majority of noise emanates from the engines; typically making this the noisiest aircraft operation. However, because of a steep climb angle, an aircraft soon reaches an altitude where noise impacts are substantially reduced. Arriving aircraft generate more noise from the airframe because of the use of flaps and landing gear being down. Thrust is reduced because of a relatively shallower approach, but it also means that the aircraft are closer to the ground over a longer distance and therefore increasing the distance over which noise disturbance may be experienced on the ground.

The NAP must be drawn up for those places affected by noise from aircraft departing from and arriving at the Airport, as defined by the Guidelines. Those places are clearly shown by the results of the noise assessment, which are published as noise maps. The Plan must include a description and assessment of the existing framework of control relating to noise from the Airport. This review and update of the Noise Action Plan must support the government's aim outlined in the Aviation Policy Framework (2013), to limit and where possible reduce the number of people in th UK significantly affected by aircraft noise.

The procedure requires an examination of the Airport's current noise impact and what measures are already in place to control these impacts. It is then necessary to come to a view as to whether or not those impacts and measures are acceptable.

Strategic Noise Mapping Exercise

The Regulations require major airports to produce noise exposure information in the form of strategic noise maps utilising standardised noise indicators.

Accordingly strategic noise maps were prepared in 2007, based on the 2006 aircraft movements (a movement being an aircraft landing or a take off) utilising the Lden metric. These maps are reproduced in Appendix 1. They were submitted to the Secretary of State and following validation subsequently approved by Defra. They are also available on the Defra website.

These maps underpin the whole NAP process. Details of the areas covered by the maps and the number of people impacted are discussed in more detail later in the document.

Measuring noise is a complex subject. In the context of measuring aircraft noise, the most commonly used noise measure is the average noise energy over a specified time period, which could be a 24 hour day or any part of a day, such as an 8 hour night period. Measuring noise in this way attempts to present the effect of an individual number of "noise events" associated with aircraft taking off or landing, with quieter intervals in between, as an "average" noise level, expressed as decibels. This measure is referred to as L_{eq}.

These average levels are weighted to more accurately reflect the perception of noise by the human ear. This is referred to as 'A weighting'.

The END requires member states to produce noise exposure maps using the L_{Aeq} measure for the daytime, evening and night periods. This is alongside an overall $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ to cover the period from 07.00-23.00. The END also introduces a relatively new measure which seeks to present noise exposure over the whole 24 hour period. To acknowledge the greater potential for noise to be intrusive during evening (19.00 – 23.00) and night periods (23.00 – 07.00), when background noise levels are lower, this measure adds 5 decibels to all aircraft "noise events" that take place during the evening and 10 decibels to all aircraft "noise events" that take place at night. This measure is referred to as L_{DEN} and affords greater weighting to noise in the evening or at night. An L_{DEN} map has also been produced and is available in Appendix 1.

Overview of NAP Requirements

The minimum requirements for a NAP are:

- A description of the airport
- The authority responsible
- The legal context
- Any limit values in place
- A summary of the results of the Noise Mapping
- An evaluation of the number of people exposed to noise, identification of problems and situations that need to be improved
- A record of the public consultations organised
- Any noise-reduction measures already in place or in preparation
- Actions proposed over the next 5 years and a programme of evaluation of their effectiveness

The Guidelines further explain these requirements. They require that a NAP must be drawn up for places near the Airport, and defines these as those places affected by noise from arriving and departing aircraft as shown by the results of the noise mapping. NAPs must be designed to manage noise issues and effects, including noise reduction if necessary, particularly where exposure levels can induce harmful effects on human health. The NAP must aim to protect 'quiet areas' within what the guidelines refer to as 'agglomerations'. Under the terms of the END an agglomeration is defined as an area having a population in excess of 100,000 persons and a population density equal or greater than 500 people per km² and which is considered to be urbanised. Appendix 2 shows the area identified as the Bournemouth agglomeration. 'Quiet areas' within agglomerations have been defined by the Secretary for State, but these have yet to be formally nominated and identified. We will continue to liaise with the local authorities in determination of these 'quiet areas' and once formal nomination of such areas has been developed we will continue to explore ways in which aircraft noise impacting upon them can be managed.

In line with the Aviation Policy Framework commitment of limiting, and where possible reducing, the number of people in the UK significantly affected by noise, Government policy has been to concentrate departing aircraft along the least possible number of departure routes, consistent with airspace management considerations and the overriding need for safety. This has resulted in the establishment of Noise Preferential Routes (NPRs). These routes are designed to minimise noise annoyance and concentrate aircraft departures along routes which, as far as is practicable, avoid the more densely populated areas.

The NAP must also include "a description and assessment of the existing national and local framework of control directly or indirectly relating to the management of noise from Airports e.g. current Government policies, noise preferential routes, Airport Master Plans, any local planning agreements and restrictions, and local voluntary agreements etc." Guidance is also offered on the format of the NAP.

Once drawn up, the original draft NAP was subjected to a public consultation exercise, which it was recommended should run for a 16 week period, following which the plan should be reviewed and monitored. The consultation arrangements and results from this consultation are set out later in this Plan. It should be noted that representatives of the Airport's Independent Consultative Committee (ICC) have had a close involvement and will continue to oversee the implementation of the measures set out in the Plan.

With this review and update of the Noise Action Plan, we are required to present the plan to the Independent Consultative Committee for comment. A description of these comments must then be included in the revised plan, with a reasoned justification for the response to the issues raised.

The process for adoption of this review of the NAP is set out in the Regulations, which require that, once completed, the Plan along with an accompanying summary, is submitted to the Secretary of State for DEFRA.

The Authority Responsible for the Bournemouth Draft Noise Plan

In accordance with the requirements of the END and the Regulations it is noted that Bournemouth Airport, which is part of the Manchester Airports Group, is the authority responsible for this plan.

3. Description Of The Airport

Bournemouth Airport was originally built during World War II to provide an operating base for the RAF. Shortly before the end of the war it was converted into a municipal airport, and the Government designated it as the intercontinental airport for the UK. By 1945, long haul routes were being flown to North and South America, Africa and Australasia. In 1951, the Vickers Aircraft Company established a production facility at the site, which at its peak became a major employment site for the area, employing over 6,000 people.

The Airport was incorporated under the Airports Act in 1986 and was owned by Bournemouth Borough Council (BBC) and Dorset County Council (DCC). It passed into private ownership in April 1995 and, in 2001, was acquired by Manchester Airport Plc, who are now Manchester Airport Group. Manchester Airport Group are the country's largest UK owned airport operator consisting of Bournemouth, East Midlands, Stansted and Manchester Airports.

Bournemouth Airport site is divided into distinct zones. To the south-east of the runway, which runs on an east-north-east to west-south-west axis, is the main commercial passenger airport, incorporating the main terminal buildings, aircraft apron, car parking and airport support facilities. Following from the 2009 Noise Action Plan, development work has been undertaken to improve terminal buildings, with completion of the new departures building in 2009 and construction of a new arrivals building in 2011. The Airport's runway is 2,271 metres in length. While the typical passenger aircraft in use at the airport are Code C sized e.g. Boeing 737 and Airbus A320/321, the runway is capable of handling the larger Code D and E sized aircraft e.g. Boeing 747, 757, 767, 777 and Airbus A330/340 aircraft.

To the north of the runway there are two distinct zones. The northernmost comprises an area of heath and river corridor, most of which has Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) status. The heath is also identified as a Special Protection Area (SPA) in recognition of its international value as habitat for supporting rare birdlife. South of this heathland is the Northern Sector, an area of industrial and commercial development, split by a disused runway into north-west and north-east sectors. Most of the Airport site's employment is concentrated in the north-west sector. The north-east sector is the focus of the Airport's aviation maintenance operations and also contains a local flying club. There are a number of other uses in both sectors that generate air traffic movements such as EAL and Cobham.

In 2011 620,552 passengers used Bournemouth Airport, compared to 788,227 in 2009. Previous forecasts have suggested that Bournemouth could be handling anywhere between 3 and 4.5 million passengers per year by 2030, dependant on the delivery of additional capacity in the South East of the country, more particularly at the main London airports.

There were a total of 66,612 aircraft movements in 2011. This was made up of: 7,469 commercial aircraft movements; and 59,143 non-commercial movements comprising test and training flights, aero club flights, private, military flights and business flights. In our Master Plan we forecast that by 2014/15 commercial movements may be as high as 27,000, the actual rate of growth has been subject to considerable pressure during the recent general economic downturn.

4. Aircraft Noise: Regulatory Framework

International

ICAO Regulatory framework

In common with all parts of the aviation industry, we have benefited from improvements in aircraft technology, which have greatly reduced the noise from individual aircraft, particularly on departure. Modern aircraft are typically 20 decibels quieter than those operating 30 years ago.

Whilst further improvements in technology are likely, aircraft operations will still result in relatively high levels of noise with the potential to disturb and annoy. This is particularly so at night when levels of background noise are generally lower. Given the growth forecast in our commercial activity, this makes the control of noise particularly challenging.

In seeking to minimise the impact of aircraft noise, we have followed the agreed principles set out by the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO), known as the "balanced approach". This approach is given effect by European Directive (EC2002/30) and the Aerodrome (Noise Restrictions) (Rules and Procedures) Regulations 2003. In summary, the "balanced approach" requires the consideration of the contribution to noise amelioration that can be made by each of the following measures:

- reducing aircraft noise at source
- land-use planning
- noise abatement operational procedures
- Operating restrictions

When considering the need for operating restrictions, ICAO urges that they are not employed as a first resort and that they are only employed after careful consideration of the benefits to be gained from all other elements of the balanced approach. This is part of the overall "control, mitigate and compensate" approach.

National

Aviation Policy Framework

The Aviation Policy Framework 2013 replaces the 2003 Air Transport White Paper as UK's Government's policy on aviation. The Government's overall policy on aviation noise is to

limit and, where possible, reduce the number of people in the UK significantly affected by aircraft noise.

The Framework highlights the important role industry has to play in reducing aircraft noise from source

The Aviation Policy Framework outlines a number of measures to achieve this. It recognises the importance of the 'balanced approach' with regard to noise management, as defined by the ICAO. There is a recognition of the importance of local control with regard to noise management at airports. This includes local planning conditions and agreements, and the review of noise action plans alongside the development of noise preferential routes.

The policy is in agreement with the noise indicators outlined in the Environmental Noise Directive, however it does encourage airports to consider the case for additional or alternative noise indicators, which better reflect how aircraft noise is experienced in different localities.

Sustainable Aviation

Launched in 2005, Sustainable Aviation is an industry coalition that is developing and implementing long-term strategy for the UK aviation industry. It brings together airlines, airports, manufacturers and air-traffic service providers.

Manchester Airport Group was a founding member of Sustainable Aviation and continues to be a member of the managing council.

In 2013, Sustainable Aviation launched its' Noise Road-Map. The Road-Map has been conceived around the four elements of the ICAO's 'balanced approach'. It prioritises four key areas of work to reduce noise before operational restrictions should be considered:

- Reducing noise at source
- Land Use Planning
- Operational improvements
- Noise communication and community engagement

By looking at how the aviation industry can manage aircraft noise between now and 2050, the Roadmap demonstrates that it is possible for the industry to grow without increasing overall noise impact in the UK. The Roadmap also acts as a toolkit for airports to introduce measures to reduce the effect of noise impact from aircraft operations.

Civil Aviation Act 2006

The Civil Aviation Act 2006 affords airports the powers to establish and enforce a noise control scheme. The noise control scheme can have wide-ranging powers including limits on the numbers or types of aircraft that are permitted to operate, penalties on those that fail to comply with noise abatement procedures and charging mechanisms to incentivise airlines to operate quieter aircraft types.

Local

Bournemouth Airport Master Plan

In our Master Plan, we set out air noise contours for all aircraft movements for the baseline year of 2004, as well as predicted contours for anticipated movements in 2015 and 2030. At the same time, we estimated the numbers of properties that might be affected by different (low, medium or high) noise levels.

As a result of this, we made a commitment in the Master Plan to improve our Noise Complaints procedure, including committing to expand the use of noise monitoring equipment to record and assess actual noise levels. We also committed to introduce a system for recording aircraft tracks, which would enable us to enforce our noise abatement procedures, through, if necessary, the introduction of a fining system.

Given the small number of existing and envisaged night-time air movements, the Master Plan did not envisage any further controls on night-flights to be necessary.

This Master Plan has been reviewed in line with The 2013 Aviation Policy Framework which reiterates the importance of such plans and how they are implemented.

Section 106 Agreement

In spring 2007, we submitted a planning application to carry out a comprehensive redevelopment of the terminal buildings. This will cater for future increases in activity at the Airport. The application followed the principles set out in the Master Plan and we entered into an Agreement with the Local Authority to obligate us to deliver on many of the measures that had been set out in the Master Plan. This included a general commitment to limit noise, along with specific measures to control noise from arriving and departing aircraft as well as setting out limits to the amount of noise that could be generated at night. These commitments are set out in full in Appendix 4 and our move towards meeting the obligations set out later in this Plan.

Airport Consultative Committee

The Bournemouth Airport Independent Consultative Committee (ICC) is the formal body in charge of liaison between Bournemouth Airport and our neighbouring communities.

It operates according to Government guidelines and representatives from local authorities, amenity and user groups meet three times a year. The Committee consists of representatives from 29 member organisations

According to the Liaison Group of UK Airport Consultative Committees, an ICC "seeks to hold the precarious balance between the interests of civil aviation, of passengers and other users of the airport and of people living in the area, and of the local environment."

5. Noise Control At Bournemouth Airport

Our programme of noise control is based upon the schedules set out in the Section 106 Agreement that was signed by Christchurch Borough Council and ourselves to accompany the planning permission for the new terminal building and accompanying development. The programme of control is wide-ranging and seeks to apply the guiding regulatory principles to the local situation. It is important to note that it is the first time that we have been obligated to follow such procedures. Prior to the commencement of the new terminal scheme, there was no operational agreement tying us to such restrictions. Progress reports setting out how we are performing against our obligations are submitted annually to Christchurch Borough Council and reported to the Airport's Independent Consultative Committee. The full requirements of the Section 106 Agreement in relation to noise controls are set out in Appendix 4. The noise control measures introduced by the section 106 agreement were altered in response to consultation on the draft 2010 noise action plan, to further reduce the impact of noise in the vicinity of the airport.

To ensure that all operations are undertaken as quietly as possible, the way in which aircraft are operated is closely controlled. This begins with a general and overarching requirement that all aircraft are operated in a manner likely to cause minimum disturbance. Our programme sets out a number of detailed and mandatory requirements to control the way in which aircraft are operated, including:

Departing aircraft

- Departing aircraft are required to climb as steeply as is compatible with safety, in an effort to maximise altitude and thereby reduce noise.
- Departing aircraft are required to follow specified departure routings. Commercial aircraft are not permitted to make any turn below 2,000 feet and it is the intention of the departure routings that aircraft avoid flying over built up areas where it is possible to do so. The effect of the routings is to minimise impact to Parley and the Bournemouth agglomeration when aircraft depart to the west (Runway 26) and to minimise the impact to Bransgore when aircraft depart to the east (Runway 08).

Landing aircraft

 We provide an instrument landing system (ILS) for arriving aircraft to guide them into land, typically from distances of 6 – 10 miles from the runway. Those aircraft using the ILS will maintain a minimum angle of descent. We require that those aircraft which do not make use of the ILS, approach at no less an angle than those approaching using ILS, to ensure that they do not fly lower than is necessary. • In order to reduce the speed of the aircraft after it has landed, aircraft can reverse their engines to effectively apply a braking force. Whilst it can, for reasons of operational safety, be necessary to do this, the resulting noise can be intrusive. We have mandatory instructions to pilots which require that the use of reverse thrust above low, or 'idle' power is minimised.

The way landing aircraft descend can affect their altitude and the engine thrust that must be applied. Both factors can significantly affect noise levels. Following research undertaken for the Government and international research, it is now widely accepted that best practice is to adopt a Continuous Descent Approach (CDA). By constantly descending using minimum engine power, it has been shown that CDA can reduce noise by up to 5 decibels. We encourage pilots to use CDA wherever it is possible to do so.

There are a number of airspace users in the vicinity of our Airport including Southampton Airport, military operations and light aircraft. Aircraft operating to or from our Airport must be integrated within this complex environment and provided with safe onward direction towards international air routes. As a result there are occasions when, in order to ensure that aircraft remain safely separated from each other, a landing aircraft may be requested to maintain level flight or descend to a lower altitude than is ideal to allow another aircraft to pass safely underneath or overhead. Whilst this type of 'vertical separation' is not uncommon, it does mean that landing aircraft that operate in this way do not fly as high as possible, use greater engine power and do not achieve CDA.

We continue to promote the use of CDA wherever it is possible and we will continue to work with National Air Traffic Services and other stakeholders to find ways in which local constraints can be reduced. We have enjoyed some success in working with Southampton air traffic control to optimise the potential for the operation of CDA.

Training and circuiting aircraft

Whilst the use of modern computer flight simulators has reduced the need for pilot training, there are still occasions when aircraft are required to fly circuits in the vicinity of the Airport. These include pilot training, following maintenance or repair and when holding prior to landing.

We stipulate minimum altitudes at which circuits can be flown. The requirements in relation to the section 106 agreement were to fly no less that 1,000ft, although this was made more stringent as a result of consultation following the first round noise action plan, with requirements now being that circuit flight altitudes shall be no less than 1,200 feet for light aircraft (less than 5,700 Kg) or no less than 1,500 feet for larger and jet aircraft. In recognition of the need to make special provisions for noise during the evening and night, the higher minimum altitude of 1,500 feet is applied to any operations after 20:00 hrs.

Transparency and feedback

We believe that it is important that the operation of the noise control measures and, more generally, the conduct of aircraft operations are made widely available, in order to involve and engage local people and their representatives in this important area. To this end we have embraced a number of key measures within the current noise control programme including:

- The operation of an internet based radar replay service, WebTrak. Available on our web site, WebTrak enables the replay of radar recordings of aircraft operations in the vicinity of the Airport, whether or not the aircraft in question is operating to or from the Airport. For aircraft operations associated with Bournemouth, operational details such as airline, aircraft type, destination and altitude are also provided.
- We have a well-established Independent Consultative Committee. The Committee, which meets tri-annually, has representatives from local councils, businesses and interest groups.



The following illustrates the WebTrak system:

Figure 1 : WebTrak replay

We also provide a clear complaints procedure in the event that noise proves to be disruptive. Details of the complaints procedure are published on our web site and complaints that are received were responded to within a target of 10 working days. This was changed to a target of 5 working days in response to the consultation on the first round noise action plan.

It is notable that most complaints relate to operations during the day. In common with other UK airports, we receive greater numbers of complaints during the summer months, when our neighbours are more likely to have open windows and to spend time outdoors. It is also apparent that it is both commercial and other aircraft operations, such as light aircraft, helicopters and training aircraft that give rise to complaint.

<u>Limits</u>

We accept that noise at night can be a particular issue and that some additional safeguards are appropriate to ensure that noise at night is minimised and local people afforded an acceptable level of protection. We have adopted a Night Time Noise Budget, for the period 23:30 to 0600:

- The noise associated with individual aircraft movements can be rated according to a scale, 'the quota count' or QC. Every aircraft is assigned a QC number relating to how much noise it makes on arrival and on departure (as set out in the London Heathrow, London Gatwick and London Stansted Airports Noise Restrictions Notice 2007 or any subsequent notice made under section 78 of the Civil Aviation Act 1982 or any re-enactment). Figure 2 below shows how different aircraft types score against the QC system. Every aircraft is required to possess a noise certificate demonstrating their compliance with appropriate International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) noise certification standards. It is this certificate that fixes an aircraft's QC value.
- We limit operations by the noisiest aircraft types (those attracting a QC rating of 8 or 16). Whilst not the nosiest aircraft, movements of those operations attracting a QC of 4 are also restricted. These operations are not permitted to be scheduled to operate at night (after 23:00 or before 07:00).
- By summing all of the QC ratings associated with aircraft operations at night (23:30 to 06:00), it is possible to express the total impact of aircraft noise at night as single QC point total. This total figure can then be used to report, target and limit the impact of aircraft noise. As the same points total can be maintained by operating a greater number of aircraft that are individually quieter, this system can also be used as an incentive to operate quieter aircraft types. Our noise control programme limits the total QC points at night to no more than 3,100 points per annum.

ARRIVALS			Maximum certified landing weight – tonnes							
/										
		Noise Level Dand	-94	0.4	07	00	02	06	00	>102
		(EDNAR)	<84	86.0	87 -	90 -	93-	90 -	99 - 101 0	>102
		(LI NOD)		00.5	05.5	52.5	55.5	56.5	101.5	
		Quota Count	EXEMPT	QC/0.25	QC/0.5	QC/1	QC/2	QC/4	QC/8	QC16
Aircraft	Engine									
<i>i</i> are are	LIIBIIIC									
Airbus	RR Trent				394					
A380-841	970									
B737-800	CFM56				66.36					
	7B24									
B747- 200	CF6-50E2							299.37		
B757-200	RB211-					95.25				
	535E4									
DC10-10/-	CF6-50C2-						164.50			
15	F									
	1		1	1	I	1			1	1

Figure 2: Example of Quota Count Calculations for Arriving Aircraft

6. Progress With Noise Amelioration At Bournemouth

Whilst the current noise programme was agreed relatively recently (2007), most measures have now been implemented and very good progress has been made.

Annual Monitoring Reports are published and are available on our web site.

With regard to the key aspects of the programme it is notable that:

Departing aircraft

 All of the procedures specified have been published in the UK Aeronautical Information Package (UK-AIP), which is issued by the Civil Aviation Authority. The UK-AIP is an important document as it is the primary source of information used by pilots for all aspects of aerodrome information. The introduction of WebTrak has provided us with the ability to investigate aircraft operations and to confirm that aircraft operations have conformed to the required procedures. With WebTrak, we were the first regional airport in the south to allow the public to view the movement of flights and air traffic patterns. The data to support WebTrak is sourced from the Bournemouth radar and includes all aircraft operations within a 30 mile radius of the airport, with the exception of aircraft operating above 15,000 ft. The public can interrogate the system to obtain information such as the aircraft's track, altitude, airline and aircraft type. Flight information is updated daily and is displayed 24 hours in arrears to maintain aviation security.

Landing aircraft

• All of the approach procedures specified have been published in the UK-AIP for the attention of pilots, and the conduct of operations is supervised by Air Traffic Control. Negotiations are on-going with Air Traffic Control to seek amendments to the AIP to restrict the use of reverse thrust braking where operationally practicable.

Training and circuiting aircraft

• The restrictions that are specified have been published in the UK-AIP. The restrictions are highlighted by Air Traffic Control, which is able to monitor the performance of aircraft in 'real time' to ensure that they comply.

Transparency and feedback

The use of the WebTrak radar replay service is now well established and we have received strong support for this facility. The operation of the system has also been demonstrated to officers and members of the Christchurch Borough Council, the local planning authority.

The complaints handling procedure is also well established. A log of all noise complaints received is maintained and the numbers and types of complaints received is analysed and reported monthly. This report is made widely available including to the local planning authority, the Independent Consultative Committee and, via our web site to the general public. The Consultative Committee have requested that complainants who make persistent multiple complaints of a similar nature regarding aircraft that follow noise preferential routes are reported separately. These are reported below as multiple complaints.

A report of complaints received in 2013 are shown below in Figures 3 to 8.



Figure 3: Total number of complaints in 2013



Figure 4: Number of non multiple complaints



Figure 5: Number of multiple complaints



Figure 6: Complaints by Area



Figure 7: Complaints by Operation



Figure 8: Complaints by Time of Day

<u>Limits</u>

The total quota count associated with aircraft operations at night is now routinely calculated and reported. The results for year 2013 are included below in Figure 7. It is apparent that the actual performance is within the agreed limit and we are confident that we will be able to continue to operate within the night noise quota limit.



Figure 9: Total QC for 2013

In addition to these commitments made in the s106 agreement, further commitments have been made in response to feedback, in particular following the consultation of the draft noise action plan in 2009. These were additional procedures, or tightening the operating procedures outlined in the s106 agreement.

7. Strategic Noise Mapping Of Bournemouth Airport

The results of Strategic Noise Mapping at Bournemouth Airport

As required by the Regulations, we have produced a series of noise maps. The common noise metric underpinning each of the maps is the continuous equivalent noise level, or LEQ. Whilst a fuller explanation of the LEQ metric is provided in the glossary, it is essentially an 'average' noise level over a defined time period.

The noise maps are based on the actual aircraft operations that took place in 2011 in line with Environmental Noise Directive requirements. Aircraft activity over the course of the year is averaged to produce an average day.

This average noise level is then assessed over four time periods:

- Day (07.00-19.00)
- Evening (19.00-23.00)
- Night (23.00-07.00)
- Extended day (07.00-23.00)

The average 24 hour day is also considered in a fifth noise map using the LDEN metric. This seeks to accord greater weight to noise in the evening and night periods, to reflect the greater potential for disturbance at these times. This is achieved by adding five decibels to noise events during the evening period and 10 decibels to noise events at night.

All five noise maps are included as Appendix 1.

The Guidance suggests that as a first priority, airport operators should consider what further measures should be taken in areas shown on the Noise Maps to have residential premises exposed to more than 69 dB LAeq 16hr. They should then examine the day, evening and night results produced from the noise mapping and consider whether there are any features of the noise impact that might be managed further.

Areas Covered

Areas within four Local Authorities (Christchurch Borough, Bournemouth Borough, East Dorset District and New Forest District) are covered by the Strategic Noise Maps for Bournemouth Airport, as well as a slight incursion into the New Forest National Park Authority area.

Population Exposure

Based upon our Strategic Mapping results, estimations have been made regarding the population and dwelling exposure statistics for various noise levels. In order to produce this estimate Defra has used a formula that utilises census data about household size overlaid onto Ordnance Survey mapping. These are shown in the tables below. The population and dwellings have been rounded as follows:

- The number of dwellings has been rounded to the nearest 50, except where the number is greater than zero but less than 50, when it is expressed as "<50".
- The associated population has been rounded to the nearest hundred, except when it is greater than zero but less than 100 when it is expressed as "<100".

NB. This has changed for the 2012 round of noise mapping, with all results being expressed to the nearest 100 or <100.

Table 1: Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, L_{den}

Noise Level (dB)	Number of Dwellings (2006)	Number of Dwellings (2011)	Number of People (2006)	Number of People (2011)	Area (sq km)
≥55	1,500	800	3,400	1,700	7.4
≥60	<50	<100	100	<100	2.6
≥65	<50	0	<100	0	1.0
≥70	0	0	0	0	0.4
≥75	0	0	0	0	0.2

Noise Level (dB)	Number of Dwellings (2006)	Number of Dwellings (2011)	Number of People (2006)	Number of People (2011)	Area (sq km)
≥54	1,900	800	4,400	1,700	7.0
≥57	700	<100	1,500	200	3.9
≥60	<50	<100	<100	<100	2.2
≥63	<50	<100	<100	<100	1.3
≥66	<50	0	<100	0	0.8
≥69	0	0	0	0	0.5

Table 2 : Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, L_{day}

Table 3 : Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, L_{evening}

Noise Level (dB)	Number of Dwellings (2006)	Number of Dwellings (2011)	Number of People (2006)	Number of People (2011)	Area (sq km)
≥ 54	400	<100	900	200	4.3
≥57	<50	<100	<100	<100	2.3
≥60	<50	<100	<100	<100	1.3
≥63	0	0	0	0	0.7

Table 4 : Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, $L_{Aeq, 16hr}$

Noise Level (dB)	Number of Dwellings (2006)	Number of Dwellings (2011)	Number of People (2006)	Number of People (2011)	Area (sq km)
≥ 54	1,550	600	3,500	1,300	6.4
≥57	450	<100	900	<100	3.6
≥60	<50	<100	<100	<100	2.0
≥63	<50	0	<100	0	1.1
≥66	0	0	0	0	0.7

Table 5 : Estimated total number of people & dwellings above various noise levels, L_{night}

Noise Level (dB)	Number of Dwellings (2006)	Number of Dwellings (2011)	Number of People (2006)	Number of People (2011)	Area (sq km)
≥ 48	300	<100	700	100	4.4
≥51	<50	<100	<100	<100	2.3
≥54	<50	0	<100	0	1.2
≥57	0	0	0	0	0.7

Interpreting the results

As can be seen from the tables above, there has been a significant decrease in the number of dwellings and people exposed to noise resulting from operations at the airport when comparing 2006 with 2011 data. Whilst the number of movements has reduced in this time, it would also suggest that noise reduction measures at the airport are having an effect on the numbers of people affected by noise from the airport.

8. Summary Of Public Consultation Exercise

The original draft NAP was prepared for consultation with input from members of the Airport's Independent Consultative Committee, so even prior to the formal public consultation it had been subjected to a degree of independent scrutiny and oversight.

The first draft NAP was circulated to the list of consultees who were consulted on the Airport's Master Plan. This included all adjoining Local Authorities, local Members of the UK and European Parliaments, industry bodies, control authorities and protection agencies and assorted local interest groups (full list as Appendix 6). Individuals who had submitted responses to the Master Plan consultation augmented this list.

The original public consultation on the 2010 plan involved the document being placed on deposit at main libraries and Authority offices in those boroughs which appeared in the Strategic Noise Maps, namely Christchurch, Bournemouth, East Dorset and New Forest District. After comment from the Independent Consultative Committee it was also deposited in Ferndown and Poole libraries and at the Borough of Poole offices. The consultation period ran for a period of 16 weeks and came to a close on 21st October 2009.

The main page of the Airport's website contained a direct link to the draft NAP and press releases were issued to advertise the Plan's existence. Articles appeared in 12 local newspapers and publications and items also appeared on local radio stations. Copies of the draft NAP were available to download, and hard copies of the document were also offered upon request.

A series of public meetings was held in order to present the draft Plan and to receive questions about its contents. The following public meetings were attended by in excess of 400 people: North Bournemouth Area Forum, Broadstone/ Merley/ Bearwood Local Council Area Meeting, Sopley Parish Council, West Parley Residents' Association and Burley Parish Council. In addition we visited St. Leonards and St. Ives Parish Council and received delegations from Hurn and Brockenhurst Parish Councils.

We believe that we have met and exceeded the consultation requirements set out in the Guidelines, demonstrating our approach to community engagement. We recognised that the effects of noise are felt over a wider area than that suggested in the Guidelines; albeit the Guidelines were suggesting limiting actions to areas within those mapped areas for this first round of NAPs, or areas within first round agglomerations that fell within the mapped areas. Our responses to the consultation demonstrate our willingness to listen and respond to our community's concerns.

Responses to the consultation were submitted either as hard copy or by email. In total, 122 individual responses were received from both organisations and members of the public. Details of the organisations that submitted responses are set out in Appendix 7. Individual

respondents, not responding on behalf of a named organisation are not named but make up the remainder. In addition over 500 pro-forma responses were submitted as a result of a local campaign, principally in the Broadstone area, in response to an article in the local press. Petitions containing a total of 400 signatures were also submitted by residents in the North Poole and Thorney Hill areas. These petitions were mainly based on a newspaper report about an airline's summer 2010 schedule and were not directly connected to the contents of the draft NAP or to its consultation process, rather they were coincident. The following table details both the number and content of the responses. Many correspondents included more than one point in their response.

Nature of response	Number of responses
Complaints about Night Flights – Existing and	89
Future	
NAP specific consultation criticism	59
Routing of Aircraft / Off-Track Aircraft	41
Object to Night Noise Quota	27
Favourable comments	19
Criticism of Noise Mapping / Validity of	17
Results	
Complaint about General Aviation & other	16
Non-Passenger Air Traffic	
Request for Noise Monitoring / Installation	15
of Equipment	
Criticism of WebTrak system	12
General comment on consultation &	11
Independent Consultative Committee	
Dissatisfied with Complaints procedure	10
Doesn't conform to European Noise	1
Directive	

The following table shows the location of the respondents, where it is clear that the location was central to their response. Not all responses were specific about, or attributable to, a geographical area of concern or were submitted by umbrella organisations with a non-geographically specific remit.

Area of response	Number
North Poole (incl. Bearwood, Broadstone, Canford, Corfe Mullen)	39
Wimborne area (including Merley, Colehill, Canford Magna)	27

New Forest (including Burley, Brockenhurst,	21
Thorney Hill, Avon Tyrell)	
East of Airport not in New Forest (including	6
Sopley, Bransgore)	
West Parley and Ferndown	7
Other areas	16
Non specific	6
Total	122

It is important to note that very few of the responses were actually received from within the mapped contour areas. The overwhelming response was that the mapping and therefore the actions proposed were too limited and did not reflect how neighbourhoods were affected by noise, particularly in relation to night noise.

With this review of the Noise Action Plan, DEFRA has set out clear requirements for consultation. Given the depth of the work that has previously been undertaken, in this case, the airport was required to consult on the contents of this review with the Airport Consultative Committee. We have reflected upon comments received from the consultative committee and a summary of comments received and reasoned responses can be found in Appendix 7.

9. Performance Against Noise Reduction Commitments

With the adoption of the comprehensive noise control programme set out in the Airport's Section 106 Agreement, and in response to consultation on our Noise Action Plan, we have in place a locally determined and robust system of noise control. Departing aircraft are routed away from built up areas, landing aircraft are operated sensitively, including the use of the continuous descent approach technique, wherever it is possible and, in recognition of local circumstances, specific controls are applied to training and circuiting aircraft.

Our updated strategic noise mapping exercise has not highlighted significant new areas of noise impact that were not considered when the current noise control programme was defined, and the results demonstrate that the numbers of people affected by noise from operations at the airport has significantly decreased. The objectives and approach taken by the current noise control programme are considered appropriate and proportionate.

However, the public consultation exercise in 2009 demonstrated that there are concerns around Airport related noise beyond the mapped areas. Whilst these issues are beyond the strict scope of the NAP, further improvements were proposed in response. The Airport will continue to monitor, consider and respond to complaints and other feedback, including comments received during the consultation of this draft plan, to inform the future development of noise amelioration measures. A summary of the measures we implemented as a result of the 2009 NAP process and the results of the implementation of these is as follows:

1. Review runway policy at night to ensure that the direction in which aircraft operate strikes the optimum balance between ground noise, which impacts properties close to the Airport and air noise which is experienced by those that are overflown.

In line with the standard industry practice, Bournemouth Airport operates a policy where aircraft take off and land into the wind.

2. Introduce amendments to the noise abatement procedures, stipulating the linear distance travelled before aircraft turn. By stipulating a distance rather than an altitude, as before, the more modern high performance aircraft that are able to reach a given altitude much sooner than their predecessors, replicate as far as possible the long standing routing arrangements. We will introduce these changes and thereafter monitor their effectiveness to seek an optimum balance.

There are now new Noise Preferential Routes now detailed within the Bournemouth Airport Aeronautical Information Package (AIP) and are as follows:

- For westerly departures, the turning point is specified as 3.1 nautical miles (3.5 miles)
- For easterly departures, the turning point to the south is specified as 4.1 nautical miles (4.7 miles) and to the north at 5.6 nautical miles (6.5 miles).

These procedures are enforced by Air Traffic Control, with any known deviations being investigated and reported.

3. Amendments to the Airport's web-site to augment the environmental information contained therein and to improve its accessibility.

There is now a greater body of Environmental Factsheets including extensive information on aircraft noise. We review this information on a regular basis to ensure the details within them are correct and up to date with the latest information.

4. Continue to lobby for greater local airspace control to affect more fully noise abatement procedures.

We continue to work closely with other local airspace operators to ensure we have the greatest control possible over operations associated with Bournemouth Airport.

5. Production and publication of annual day time and night noise contours based on actual movements.

We produce noise contours annually using data from the summer time period. These enable us to analyse the efficacy of our noise preferential routes and to ensure the data used for decision making processes is current.

6. Increased release altitude for general aviation from 1,000ft to 1,200ft and seek to amend circuiting guidelines.

This procedure is now in operation at the airport, with a new standard operating procedure of a circuit height increase to 1,500ft.

7. Increasing the level of noise monitoring in local areas, to provide long term data that can establish trends and issues of concern.

There have been a number of noise monitoring exercises undertaken at properties in the vicinity of the airport. These have demonstrated that the operations at the airport do not have a significant impact on the overall noise climate of the area.

8. Amendments to the noise complaints procedure to reduce the response time from 10 to 5 days and to carry out improvements to the automated telephone line.

All complaints are now responded to within a 5 working day period, which has improved the service provided to members of the public.

9. Continue to develop and improve the WebTrak flight monitoring system so that it meets the needs of local people.

WebTrak has been improved to provide more detailed flight information. Further improvements are to be made in the next 6 months.

10. Support the Independent Consultative Committee to add to their membership as necessary and to assist with their reporting mechanisms.

We continue to fully support the Bournemouth Airport Independent Consultative Committee, with information relating to any actions linked to our website, allowing freeflow of information.

11. Establish regular liaison meetings with adjoining Authorities' Environmental Health Officers to supplement those already held with Planning and Transportation officers.

An Environmental Health Officer Liaison Committee was set up, with initial strong attendance from local council officers. Over time, it was felt by the officers that regular scheduled meetings were not necessary. An open exchange of information is however maintained with local authorities being kept informed of any local issues.

12. We will continue to seek to reduce local airspace constraints where they reduce our ability to consistently achieve continuous descent approach or other noise abatement procedures. In this area in particular, we will need to work closely with our airline colleagues and other aviation stakeholders, including National Air Traffic Services, the Civil Aviation Authority and the Bournemouth Pilot's Forum.

We continue to liaise with our airline colleagues and aviation stakeholders. The Noise Abatement Procedures are being followed by ATC and are published in the AIP. Future technological improvements will enable closer scrutiny of performance with relation to Noise Preferential Route track keeping and Continuous Descent Approach procedures.

10. The Future Development Of The Noise Control Programme

As can be seen from the results of the noise mapping, the numbers of dwellings and people affected by our operations at Bournemouth Airport have reduced over recent years. Whilst there is comprehensive and robust system of noise control in place, we will however continue to work with our local community to implement further measures in relation to noise from the airport. We will commit to:

• The required height for training circuits has now been increased from 1,200ft to 1,500ft.

• Further enhancement of the noise and track monitoring system. This investment in the latest technology will result in a much more robust monitoring and reporting system. These improvements will enable us to have greater scrutiny with regard to track monitoring within defined corridors. This will result in more accurate measuring and reporting procedures in relation to noise preferential routes, and continuous descent approach compliance.

• Continue to explore and review the Noise Preferential Routes aided by noise mapping exercises to ensure that there is the most robust routing system in place to reduce the impact from operations at the airport within the local community.

• Continue to work closely with our colleagues at Solent Air Traffic control to enable full co-operation with track compliance.

11. Conclusion

In preparing a NAP the Guidance sets out a clear test that should be applied, to consider whether further action is required. We were asked to consider if there is scope to implement additional measures in pursuance of the Government's overall policy on noise and its specific aviation objective.

Having taken into account all relevant factors, along with mapping exercises that have demonstrated a reduction in the numbers of people affected by noise from Bournemouth Airport, we have concluded that the current arrangements for managing noise are acceptable and that therefore no further action is required. However, we will continue to work with the local community to further reduce the impact of noise from operations at the airport. This is demonstrated with a continued commitment to noise monitoring and reduction measures as outlined in this review.

We continue to seek a balance between the benefits provided by the legitimate operation of aircraft at the Airport and the resulting environmental impact, including noise. The Guidance recognises this balance noting that noise 'is an inevitable consequence of a mature and vibrant society' but also adding that 'When managing the environmental noise that arises from aircraft, a balance needs to be struck'.

We hope that the wider changes we have put forward, in conjunction with the ongoing monitoring and review of the clauses of the Section 106 Agreement and those already detailed in our 2010 Noise Action Plan provide reassurance that we have taken the opportunity to consider and react to feedback on our performance. We will continue to work closely with our ICC, airline colleagues and local community to ensure that over time we continue to improve our performance in this important area and try to make sure that we continue to reduce the effect aircraft noise has on their quality of life.

Monitoring and Review

The Guidance notes that the Regulations obligate airport operators to review, and if necessary revise, NAPs at least every 5 years. This timeframe for review can be brought forward if major changes or development occur. It also suggests that Operators may wish to carry out informal reviews as a part of their ongoing reporting of environmental matters. We produce an Annual Monitoring Report in relation to the section 106 agreement with Christchurch Borough Council, which already reports on progress towards many of the measures described within the NAP. This mechanism will allow us to respond quickly to any changes in circumstances that our development brings about.

12. Glossary

Agglomeration	An area having a population in excess of 100,000 persons and a population density equal or greater than 500 people per km2 and which is considered to be urbanised.
ATWP	Air Transport White Paper: Published in 2003 the Government's principal statement of aviation development policy in the UK.
CDA	Continuous Descent Approach: A noise abatement technique applied to arriving aircraft.
dB(A)	A-weighted Decibel: A unit of noise measurement in decibels applying a weighting to more closely reflect the response of the human ear.
LAEQ	The continuous equivalent sound level, or Leq, but weighted to more closely reflect the response of the human ear.
LDAY	The A-weighted average sound level over a 12 hour period between 07:00 and 19:00.
LDEN	The Day, Evening, Night Level: A logarithmic composite of the Lday, Levening and Lnight with 5 dB(A) added to the Levening value and 10 dB(A) added to the Lnight value.
LEVENING	The A-weighted average sound level over a 4 hour period between 19:00 and 23:00.
LEQ	Continuous equivalent sound level of aircraft noise expressed over a defined time period.
LNIGHT	The A-weighted average sound level over an 8 hour period between 23:00 and 07:00.
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
END	Environmental Noise Directive

ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organisation
ΝΑΡ	Noise Action Plan: The plan required by the Environmental Noise Directive, to ensure that environmental noise from operations at major airports is, where necessary, prevented or reduced.
Noise Map	A set of noise contours resulting from the strategic noise mapping exercise set out in the Environmental Noise (England) Regulations 2006.
Noise Contour	A map contour indicating noise exposure in decibels for the area that it encloses
SEL	Sound Exposure Level: The noise level generated by a single noise event. To take account of frequency and time the total noise energy associated with the single noise event is normalised over a period of 1 second.
QC	Quota Count: A noise ranking system whereby each aircraft type is assigned a points total reflecting its certified noise either on arrival or departure.











Bournemouth Agglomeration



Extracts from Section 106 Agreement

(Note text in black is directly from Section 106; text in red is further explanatory information.)

Second Schedule – Operational Restrictions

1. Save where incompatible with safe flying operations the Airport Company will use reasonable endeavours to ensure the following requirements of this Schedule are complied with at all times.

Generally

2. Every operator of Aircraft operates its Aircraft in such a manner as to be likely to cause the least disturbance practicable to local residents and where applicable to follow such procedures promulgated by the Airport Company for noise abatement and minimising ground noise.

Landing Noise

3. Aircraft making an approach to land at the Airport shall follow a descent path which will not result in their being lower at any time than the descent path that would be followed by aircraft using the Instrument Landing System (provided by the Airport Company at the Airport).

4. Without prejudice to paragraph 1 of the Third Schedule the use of reverse thrust (above idle power) after landing is minimised, consistent with the safe operation of the Aircraft at all times.

5. To develop protocols to facilitate and encourage the use of Continuous Descent Approaches by aircraft making an approach to land at the Airport.

Departing Noise

6. Departing Aircraft shall climb as steeply as is compatible with safety.

7. Unless otherwise instructed by Air Traffic Control, all departing aircraft save for Light Propeller Driven Aircraft (propeller powered aircraft with maximum take-off weight not exceeding 5,700kg) shall:

- When using Runway 26, climb on runway heading to 0.6 nautical miles from the Airport as measured by Distance Measuring Equipment (DME) then track of 270° (M), climbing to a height of 2,000 feet before making turns.
- (ii) When using Runway 08, climb on runway heading to 1.0 nautical mile from the Airport as measured by DME then track 075° (M) to 4.1 nautical miles DME before commencing any turn to the south. Northbound departures may commence the turn after passing a height of 2,000 feet.

8. Departing Light Propeller Driven Aircraft shall climb straight ahead to at least a height of 500 feet before commencing any turn, unless otherwise instructed by Air Traffic Control.

<u>Circuits</u>

9. The following minimum circuit heights shall be maintained subject to the provisions of the Third Schedule (Night Operations):

- (*i*) 1,000 feet for circuits between 06:00 20:00 hours by all aircraft less than 5,700kg maximum take off weight;
- (*ii*) 1,500 feet for circuits between 06:00 20:00 hours by all aircraft more than 5,700kg maximum take off weight and all Jet Aircraft;
- 1,500 feet for circuits between 20:00 and 23:30 hours by all aircraft.

Ground Running

10. Ground running (means the running of aircraft engines at high power settings for the purpose of testing and maintenance, or where there is no intention to taxi or fly) is only permitted subject to the following restrictions;

- except in an Emergency, such running of engines shall only take place within the areas shown hatched blue on the Plan C attached (below) or such other areas as may be agreed in writing by the Council.
- Ground Running shall not take place at the following times:-
- (*i*) Before 08:00 hours or after 20:30 hours Monday-Friday, other than start up or shut down procedures and in the case of an Emergency,
- *(ii)* Before 09:00 hours or after 17:00 hours on Saturday and public holidays, other than start up or shut down procedures or in an Emergency,
- (iii) Anytime on a Sunday, or
- *(iv)* On Armistice Day between 10:55 and 11:05 hours or during any other period of remembrance specified by HM Government,

provided always that Ground Running may take place at the times mentioned in subparagraphs (i), (ii) and (iii) above with the Airport Company's prior consent where Ground Running is essential for safety reasons or the avoidance of unforeseen and serious congestion at the Airport, or serious hardship or suffering to passengers or animals whereupon the Airport Company shall forthwith notify the Council of the Reasons for such consent being granted.

<u>Monitoring</u>

11. The Airport Company shall maintain sufficient records of the number and types of aircraft taking off from and landing at the Airport. Such records shall be available for inspection by the Council at all reasonable hours, upon 3 working days prior written request.

12. Within 6 months of the Commencement of the Development the Airport Company shall submit to the Council for its approval details of an Internet-based system which shows details of the height and track of public transport aircraft using the Airport and within 6 months of approval being given to provide and thereafter maintain the approved system so that it is publicly accessible.

13. Within 6 months of the Commencement of the Development the Airport Company shall establish and thereafter publicise and maintain a noise complaints service which will investigate the cause of all formal noise complaints made to the Airport Company by the public. The Airport Company shall provide a written response to each formal complaint as part of the noise complaint service indicating the outcome of the investigation and any action proposed to be taken to review or modify procedures as a result of the complaint.

14. The Airport Company shall not report not less than annually to the Council and to the Airport Consultative Committee the number and nature of noise complaints together with the action taken by the Airport.

Third Schedule – Night Time Operations

1. The Airport Company will use reasonable endeavours to ensure that Aircraft will not be permitted to use reverse thrust braking at Night Time (between the hours of 23:30 – 06:00 hours) except where it is essential for the safe operation of the said aircraft.

2. The Airport Company will ensure that no circuit or Training Flights (means a flight that is for the sole purpose of testing or training flight personnel, testing aircraft, their engines or accessories) take place at Night Time.

3. The Airport Company will carry out its operations at the Airport in such a way that the Night Time Quota (means the maximum permitted sum of the Quota Counts of all aircraft taking off or landing at the Airport at Night Time during the Noise Year) is not exceeded.

4. No Aircraft with a Quota Count (means the amount of the Quota assigned to one take-off or one landing by the aircraft in question, this number being related to its classification as set out in the Notice (the London Heathrow, London Gatwick and London Stansted Airports Noise Restrictions Notice 2007 or any subsequent notice made under Section 78 of the Civil Aviation Act 1982 or any re-enactment with or without modification of that section)) value of 8 or 16 will be allowed to arrive at or depart the Airport at Night Time nor shall an Aircraft with a Quota Count value of 4 be scheduled to arrive at or depart the Airport at Night Time.

5. Paragraphs 1 –4 shall not apply to:

- *(i)* Operations by Military, police and Support Aircraft
- *(ii)* Arrivals and departures by members of the Royal Family and other heads of states.
- (*iii*) Air / Sea operations.
- *(iv)* Emergency oil dispersal operations.
- (v) Operational diversions by aircraft due to weather, technical problems, security alert, industrial dispute or onboard emergency.
- (vi) Relief flights for humanitarian purposes where there is a special urgency.

- (vii) Movements suffering unavoidable operational delay, where it would lead to serious congestion at the airport, serious hardship or suffering to passengers or animals.
- (viii) Early arrivals of aircraft (other than those with a Quota Count exceeding 4) that took off and were scheduled to land after 06:00 hours.
- *(ix) Medical emergency flights.*

Fourth Schedule – Night Time Noise Budget

1. The Night Time Quota for the Initial Night Time Quota Period shall be a Quota Count of 3,100 points per Noise Year (means a summer season (means the period of time where British Summer Time is the local time at the Airport) and the immediately following winter season (means the period of time where Greenwich Mean Time is the local time at the Airport)) save that aircraft movements listed in Third Schedule paragraph 5 shall not count towards this budget. Points that are unused in any season shall not be carried forward to subsequent seasons.

2. At least six months before the expiry of the Initial Night Time Noise Quota Period (the period of five years following the beginning of the first Noise Year following Commencement of Development (development registered as having commenced 10.12.07)) the Airport Company shall propose in writing to the Council together with reasoned justifications the Night Time Noise Quota it proposes for the next 5 year period.

3. Within four months of the receipt of any proposal by the Airport Company under paragraph 2 the Council will notify the Airport Company in writing either that it approves the proposal or that it does not approve it and if so make alternative proposals and give reasonable justification for them.

4. In the event that a proposal submitted under paragraph 2 is not approved the Airport Company will make further proposals to the Council within 2 months of the receipt of notice from the Council that it is not approved and the Council will respond approving the amended proposals or making alternative proposals and reasoned justification for them within a further two months.

5. The process in paragraph 4 shall be repeated until agreement is reached save that if either party consider that they are unable to reach agreement the matter may be referred to a Specialist under clause 9 of this Agreement (a person qualified to act as an expert in relation to the dispute).

- 6. The Specialist shall hear representations from both parties and take account of the following considerations:
- *(i)* Night time noise impact in the preceding years,
- (*ii*) Night time noise complaints,
- (*iii*) Past and future air traffic movements for night time,

- *(iv)* The economic, social, environmental and commercial impacts of the proposed noise budget,
- (v) Policies and budgets at other relevant UK regional airports,
- (vi) National or regional policy Guidance that may be relevant,
- (vii) Economic and social benefits existing or projected in relation to the Airport
- 7. The procedure set out in paragraphs 4 6 shall be repeated prior to the expiry of each successive Night Time Quota period until agreed by the parties or set by the Specialist.
- 8. Where the Night Time Quota for any individual Noise Year has not been agreed or set by a Specialist two months before the expiry of the previous Noise Year the Airport Company will continue to comply with the last agreed Night Time Quota until the Winter Season or the Summer Season (as the case may be) following the agreement or setting of a new Night Time Quota whereupon the Airport Company will comply with the new Night Time Quota.

List of consultees for the 2011 Noise Action Plan

Airport Consultative Committee Airport Pilots Forum Airport Transport Forum **Borough of Poole Bournemouth & Christchurch TUC Bournemouth Airport Service Partners Bournemouth Borough Council** Bournemouth Chamber of Trade Bournemouth, Poole & Dorset Economic Partnership **Bransgore Parish Council** Bransgore Residents Association **Burley Parish Council** Campaign for the Protection of Rural England **Christchurch Borough Council** Christchurch Chamber of Trade & Commerce **Christchurch Community Partnership Civil Aviation Authority Colehill Parish Council** Department for Transport Dorset Business (Chamber of Commerce) **Dorset County Council Dorset Federation of Residents Associations Dorset Strategic Partnership** Dorset Wildlife Trust East Dorset Community Partnership East Dorset District Council Environment Agency Ferndown Town Council **Forestry Commission** Friends of Brockenhurst Friends of the Earth Government Office for the South West Hampshire County Council **Highways Agency** Hurn Parish Council MEPs: Mr G Booth, MEP Mr G Chichester, MEP Mr N Parish, MEP Mr G Watson, MEP MPs: Mrs A Brooke, MP Sir J Butterfill, MP Mr C Chope, MP

Mr T Ellwood, MP Mr O Letwin, MP Mr D Swayne, MP Mr R Syms, MP Mr R Walter, MP Natural England Network Rail New Forest District Council New Forest National Park Authority New Milton Town Council Respondees to the Master Plan Ringwood Town Council RSPB Sopley Parish Council South West Regional Development Agency St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council St Leonards South Landowners Association Verwood Town Council West Christchurch Residents Association West Parley Town Council

List of Responding Organisations (2011 Noise Action Plan)

Members of Parliament:

Annette Brook MP

Dr Julian Lewis MP

Desmond Swayne MP

Local Authorities

Bournemouth Borough Council

- Christchurch Borough Council
- East Dorset District Council
- Hampshire County Council
- Poole Borough Council
- New Forest District Council

New Forest National Park Authority

Parish Councils

Bransgore Parish Council

Brockenhurst Parish Council

- **Burley Parish Council**
- **Colehill Parish Council**
- Holt Parish Council
- Hurn Parish Council
- St. Leonards and St. Ives Parish Council

Sopley Parish Council

West Parley Parish Council

Councillor Groupings

Broadstone Ward Councillors

Canford Heath East and West Councillors

Independent Consultative Committee Members Representing: Christchurch and District Chambers of Commerce Dorset Business Dorset County Council Dorset Federation of Residents' Associations Joint Committee of Christchurch Residents' Associations New Forest National Park Authority Poole Borough Council x2

Other Organisations

New Forest Association Friends of Brockenhurst Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole TUC Environmental Protection UK Airport Watch South West Crow Hill Residents' Association Jet2.com West Parley Residents' Association Bearwood Airport Watch

Consultation responses

I. Complaints about Night Flights – Existing and Future

Many of the complaints received appeared to believe that there was, as a matter of policy, to be an increase in night flights, or that the current impact of night flights was already unbearable. Many of the complaints appear to have been informed by a press article published at the time of the public consultation, which suggested that there was going to be a surge in night movements at the Airport. As the draft NAP was available for consultation and was referenced in the press article it is plausible to assume that many people believed that the draft NAP was likely to lead to an uplift in night flights. As we have demonstrated during the consultation process, night movements have in fact been decreasing. In 2006, between the hours of 23.20 and 06.00 there were a total of 39 scheduled movements per week made up of 17 arrivals and 22 departures. This was a mix of mail / paper flights and commercial passenger flights. In 2009, this is down to total of 29 movements per week made up of 15 arrivals and 14 departures. The schedule we have for 2010 is no different to 2009 and in fact we are still in negotiation with one of our airline operators to see if they can bring forward one of their scheduled late arrivals. The night-time mail / newspaper flights have been a feature of the Airport for many years and some of these do use an older aircraft variant that does give rise to a number of noise complaints. Feedback received suggested that both air noise and ground noise associated with these aircraft operations had proven to be intrusive. The runway policy applied to these aircraft, which dictates the direction in which they arrive and depart, was reviewed following the consultation to seek to achieve the optimum balance between ground noise and air noise. The current policy is that aircraft will operate into the wind, as is common at most airports.

II. Object to Night Noise Quota

The Night Noise Quota is one of the more common means of managing night noise and is used by Government for to give effect to night noise policies at the designated airports (London Heathrow, London Gatwick and London Stansted). The night quota level at Bournemouth is set out in the Section 106 Agreement that accompanied the planning permission granted in 2007 for the refurbishment and extension of the Terminal building. This is the first time that any such restriction about operations has been in place at the Airport. Operations at the Airport are currently operating well within the QC of 3,100 set in the Section 106 Agreement. Throughout the consultation we offered assurances that it was not envisaged that the situation was likely to change in the near future or that it was a particular aspiration of the Airport to seek to increase operations at night. The point was made that the figure was a limit and not a target. Nevertheless, the QC limit was criticised during the consultation. Some respondents felt that because current operations were well within the limit there was the potential to significantly expand services at night, to utilise the unused QC allowance. The Section 106 Agreement is a bilateral agreement between the Airport and Christchurch Borough Council and not something that either party can alter unilaterally. This aspect of the Agreement (Schedule 4) is subject to a 5 year review. By mid-2013 the Airport will have to propose in writing to the Local Authority a new Night Noise Quota with a reasoned justification. We believe that, in light of our understanding of our airline's current schedules, the current situation in terms of night-time movements is unlikely to change significantly in the interim period and so the process of review enshrined within the Section 106 provides a reasonable and robust means of addressing concerns about the quota limit.

III. Routing of Aircraft / Off-Track Aircraft

There were a few common complaints during the consultation such as "Aircraft have never flown over here before", "Why have you changed the flight paths?" etc. Respondents believed that as a result of recent overflying there were deliberate attempts by operators of departing aircraft to ignore long standing noise abatement procedures. In a similar vein there was a feeling from those living under in-bound flight paths that aircraft were too low / off-track. There were similar amounts of representations suggesting that aircraft should either be routed over the less populated New Forest Area, or routed in the opposite direction to avoid impacting on the protected New Forest environment.

Departing Aircraft

With regard to departing aircraft, operators are increasingly utilising newer, higher performance aircraft that are more capable of reaching the release altitudes specified in the operating procedures sooner than older aircraft. Therefore, aircraft are turning off the intended linear track set out in the noise abatement procedures earlier. At this stage in their flight the aircraft are no longer under the direction of Bournemouth Air Traffic Control. It is the Airport's intention that aircraft fly the long standing arrangements which were designed to reduce the impact of aircraft noise.

Following the consultation Bournemouth Air Traffic Control, in consultation with Southampton Air Traffic Control agreed to operate revised procedures, to ensure that departing aircraft fly as consistently as is possible the long standing arrangements. As a result aircraft will fly over the areas they have traditionally flown over, albeit they will be at a greater altitude. Specifically, for aircraft departing to the east (runway 08), we are suggesting that commercial aircraft proposing to turn south continue on the same procedure as that published. Those turning north however, should proceed on a heading to a distance of 5.6 miles from the Airport before making a turn. Previously they had to reach a release altitude of 2,000ft with the attendant issues outlined above. This should ensure that aircraft are 'flying the gap' between Burley and Bransgore. Aircraft departing to the

west off runway 26 should similarly proceed along a heading of 3.1 miles from the airport before turning. This should ensure that, as intended by the noise abatement procedures are more likely to avoid carrying out turns over populated areas. Clearly these changes to procedures will need to be carefully monitored over the long term to ensure that they are having the desired effects in terms of reduced disturbance, whilst maintaining safe operations.

Arriving Aircraft

With regard to arriving aircraft we explained in the draft NAP that we were seeking approval from Southampton Air Traffic to extend our ability to implement Continuous Descent Approaches, which had the potential of providing a quieter approach. This agreement was secured.

In relation to the direction of take-off and landing this is, as described in the NAP, largely dictated by wind direction. We try to ensure that, in line with guidelines, we only fly at under 7,000ft over the National Park where it would not otherwise mean flying over more populated areas or compromise the safe operation of the Airport. The control of airspace in the vicinity of the Airport is further complicated by the fact that Bournemouth Air Traffic Control only covers activities up to 2,000ft, a relatively small area, after which aircraft transfer to the control of Southampton Air Traffic Control and thereafter Solent Control Area. Options for our direct control are therefore somewhat limited. We will however continue to liaise with these organisations to explore ways to limit impacts.

IV. NAP Specific Consultation Criticism

A large number of respondents felt that the areas in which they resided had been ignored in the consultation process. It should, however, be noted that only three of the consultation responses received were from within the areas included in the noise maps. If the Guidance had been strictly applied, all other comments would have been deemed outside the scope of the NAP process. Criticisms were also made about the navigability of the Airport's web-site when searching for environmental information.

We hope that the number of public meetings, the fact that representative organisations were consulted and the depositing of the plans in publicly accessible locations have allayed some of these concerns. The requirements for the consultation process set out in the Defra guidelines have been met and the encompassing way in which we have undertaken this consultation reflects our general approach to community involvement.

In response to the comments received we will seek to be more proactive in informing the public about the Airport's activities and its effects. To this end we will have made amendments to our web-site to provide a direct link to a Community and Environment section. We will progressively populate this with information regarding the Airport's operations including; the Section 106 Annual Monitoring report which is reported to both Christchurch Borough Council and the Independent Consultative Committee, up to date noise statistics, and we will produce a series of fact sheets to explain more fully airport operating procedures.

V. Favourable comments

Not all of the responses were critical of the draft NAP. We received 19 responses that were content that the draft NAP provided a reasonable means of dealing with noise issues in the immediate vicinity of the Airport. A number of responses did point to the need to continue to lobby for greater control over local airspace, recognising that this was one of the most limiting factors on the Airport's ability to tackle the impacts of noise.

VI. Criticism of Noise Mapping / Validity of Results

The process underpinning the noise mapping exercise was criticised. It was felt that the whole system of 'averaging' out noise did not reflect the real impact of a noise incident. Many areas regularly over flown could not equate the position as shown on the maps with the disturbance they experience. It was also felt that the information the mapping was based on was out of date. As explained in the body of the draft NAP, the processes used to map noise have been developed over a considerable period of time and have been accepted as the most equable way of illustrating the overall noise climate, providing a balance between volume of incidents and levels of noise. The procedures have been well tested at a number of public inquiries, and the system of measurements being rolled out through the European Noise Directive and the Environmental Noise (England) Regulations are intended to devise a common metric across the whole European Union for a number of different noise sources (Lden based on an Leq metric). We have, as we are required to by the Regulations to do, complied with this system to ensure consistency. We will however ensure that we update our Noise Contour maps for both daytime and night-time operations on an annual basis ensuring that we have the most recent information available to update the modelling work. Bv considering movements during the busy summer period (between June and September) the results will accord with common practice at other UK airports. We will be able to further validate the modelling with the use of actual movement information and with the monitoring work set out in point V above. Again the Independent Consultative Committee will be the vehicle for reporting this information, with the updated noise contours being circulated to the relevant local authorities to ensure they are able to properly exercise the development restrictions set out in PPG24 Planning and Noise. We will continue to urge Local Authorities to define policy through engagement with their Local Development Framework processes.

VII. Complaint about General Aviation & other Non-Passenger Air Traffic

It was clear that there was a feeling that many peoples' concerns were levelled at circuiting training flights or at the military related operations from the Airport as opposed to commercial aviation. We explained about the conflicts that exist between general aviation and the growth in commercial traffic, and that as the latter grows the former will, by necessity, be constrained. Nevertheless, we have explored this issue with the Airport's Air Traffic Controllers and we have put in place an amendment to procedures that will see such flights climb to 1,200ft before making any turns. Previously this instruction was to climb to 500ft. We are also seeking to make some alterations to the instructions for circuiting aircraft. There was also an issue with some of the military related operations taking off in groups and flying comparatively low. These aircraft, whilst they are jet aircraft, have a shallower rate of climb than commercial passenger aircraft, which explains why they are at a lower altitude. The reason they depart in groups relates to the specific activities they are involved in and avoids aircraft circling awaiting others to join the group. There is also an argument that departing as one, thereby creating one noise incident, is preferable to a number of individual ones. There is a regular and ongoing discussion that takes place between the Airport and pilots at the Bournemouth Airport Pilot's Forum. The feedback from this consultation has demonstrated the levels of concern, and so we will ensure that through our regular dialogue with pilots, the issue of reducing disturbance is given prominence.

VIII. Request for Noise Monitoring / Installation of Equipment

In our draft NAP we reiterated the commitment made in our Master Plan to use noise monitoring equipment in the community to record and assess actual noise levels. Through the public meetings and the consultation we received a number of requests for this service and we have, wherever possible, responded to these requests to install noise measurement equipment. We will set up a system to collect data from strategically important areas, including among others, Broadstone, Northbourne, Avon Tyrell, & Brockenhurst. These locations will be monitored and analysed on an ongoing basis, in order to establish trends and see how growth is impacting noise and to identify particular areas of concern. The results will be reported back through the Independent Consultative Committee.

IX. Dissatisfied with complaints procedure

Whilst this topic did not generate a particularly large formal response to the draft NAP, it was clear through discussion at the various public meetings that this was an area which could be improved further. There was a feeling that it was not easy enough to ascertain how or where to make a complaint; that it took too long to respond to complaints and that there was no evidence of any action being taken following a complaint. To this end we have enhanced the complaints procedure. Our response time has been reduced 10 to 5 working days. We will also amend our web-site to provide a more obvious link to the complaint information. We have addressed concerns regarding the automated messaging service by extending the time available to leave a message and recasting

the advice on how to make a complaint. We will deal with complaints in the most appropriate way, either verbally or in writing depending upon the nature of the complaint and the wishes of the complainant. We also offer face-to-face meetings at the airport or will visit the complainant at home if requested. Greater publicity will be given to the dedicated complaint phone-line (01202 364500) so complainants do not feel obliged to utilise the Airport's main options-driven phone-line. We will also work closely with the Independent Consultative Committee to review and enhance reporting procedures.

X. Criticism of WebTrak system

This was also an issue that came up frequently at the public meetings but generated few formal responses to the consultation. Bournemouth was one of the first airports in the UK to adopt this system and we believe it offers a very rich source of information. However it was brought to our attention that the WebTrak system was not displaying the full details of aircraft that operated to and from the Airport. It is intended that the system should do so and as soon as we were made aware of this problem we contacted the suppliers. We have made a number of changes that have improved the quality of the information that is displayed and we believe that we have now largely rectified this problem. We have noted a number of other criticisms of the way the system displays information: that the track information is not retained for long enough to assess track adherence, and that the system does not store information for a sufficient period of time to allow useful comparisons. We are in dialogue with the supplier of the system and, where it is possible to do so, we will seek to ensure that these concerns are addressed by future upgrades to the system so that it more closely meets the needs of local people.

XI. General comment on the consultation and make-up of the Independent Consultative Committee

The Consultative Committee (ICC) is an independent body established along Department for Transport guidelines. It is separately constituted with its own terms of reference. The draft NAP was drawn up with the co-operation of the ICC. During the consultation process there appeared to be some feeling that certain areas were under-represented on the ICC. It was also felt that the proceedings of the ICC were not readily accessible to members of the public. We have raised these issues with the ICC and offered some suggestions as to how matters might be addressed. The ICC have taken measures to address these concerns. In addition, the Airport's Environment team has established regular liaison meetings with the adjoining Local Authorities' Environmental Health Departments to discuss noise issues and also other monitoring work that is taking place at the Airport such as air quality, water quality and vegetation quality in the adjoining planning and transport Authorities.

XII. Does not conform to European Noise Directive

One respondent asserted that the document does not conform to the European Noise Directive, particularly Annex V of the Directive that states that the Action Plan should contain "estimates in terms of the reduction of the number of people affected (annoyed, sleep disturbed or other)". The Guidance on drawing up NAPs makes it quite clear that new initiatives and/or changes to existing ones should only be put forward having regard to the information in the strategic noise maps. As we have demonstrated that the impacts are acceptable under the terms of the regulations and that we believe the noise control measures we have in place to be adequate, there is no requirement to carry out this calculation. We will however continue to monitor and review the NAP in line with the Regulations and the Directive.

2014 Review Consultation

For airports, which already have a noise action plan, guidance produced by DEFRA suggests that any revised plan should be presented to the airport's Consultative Committee and any other appropriate bodies depending on the extent and nature of the revisions.

As it has been a relatively short period of time since we published our original Noise Action Plan and this review has not materially altered the commitments made in our first Noise Action Plan. The changes proposed included new laws, regulations and policies introduced following the adoption of our first plan, and reporting on our performance against the commitments made in the first plan.

In line with the previously mentioned guidance, the draft review was presented to the Airport's Consultative Committee for comment, with a consultation period of 2 months. Whilst the general feeling of the responses was that they were supportive of the efforts made in meeting our commitments, there were some specific points raised:

<u>General</u>

There was a comment relating to the noise metrics used to produce contour maps. It is felt that the weighting given to evening and night flights (LDEN) does not accurately reflect the additional disturbance caused. This metric is outlined in the The Environmental Noise Directive (2002/49/EC), and as such we are required to produce contour maps on this basis.

Departing Aircraft

There is a general recognition that the revised Noise Preferential Routes, introduced in 2010 have improved the noise levels experienced by respondent groups. However, new NPRs have been suggested by these groups. Whilst these have been relatively recently altered and the mapping demonstrates that less numbers of people are being disturbed by noise from operations at the airport, we will review all of the NPRs in operation at the airport, with consideration given to those routes suggested by consultation respondents. This review will determine if the routes are having the desired effects in terms of reduced disturbance, whilst maintaining safe operations.

Also, with the installation of the improved noise track monitoring system discussed in this noise action plan review, the airport will have greater capability to determine the level of track keeping by specific airlines.

Arriving Aircraft

The use of Continuous Descent Approaches was queried and the validity of them in relation to the size of Bournemouth Controlled Airspace (CAS). CDAs have been in operation at the airport for many years but are not always possible due either to the limitation of the CAS and the integration with Southampton Airport traffic. With the improved noise track monitoring system being installed at the airport, we will be able to accurately determine the proportion of aircraft implementing this procedure.

The subject of the use of visual approaches when arriving at the airport has been raised, particularly in relation to night movements, and the avoidance of noise sensitive areas. It has been agreed that consideration will be given to including particular noise sensitive areas in the Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP).

During night operations, Radar is not available and hence, inbound aircraft must either carry out a Procedural Approach, following the published procedures, or execute a Visual Approach. When using Radar, our procedures state that aircraft should not descend below 2000ft until they are established on the Instrument Landing System (ILS). At night, procedures require aircraft to maintain 2000ft until established inbound, thereby maintaining the same glide slope profile onto the Runway. We will endeavour to further investigate procedures to improve operations to reduce the impact of noise, and will therefore undertake to review the potential of altering the self-positioning procedures at night, and the possibility of raising these from 2,000ft to 2,500ft.

Night Noise Quota

The Section 106 agreement has been entered into with Christchurch Borough Council. This included the introduction of a night noise quota at the airport and was the first time there had been any restrictions on operations during the night.

The level of this was carefully considered at the time and we are currently in discussions with the Council with regards to its renewal.

Training Flights

It is felt that further restrictions should be placed on training flights. There are already time restrictions in place to reduce the impact of noise from such flights. Alongside this, the minimum height of training circuits has been raised. In order to provide an adequate training facility, it is felt that these restrictions already in place are adequate to reduce the noise impact.

Actions We Will Take

We do feel that there are a number of procedures in place to reduce the impact of noise on our neighbours, which has been demonstrated by the reduced numbers of people being affected by noise from aircraft. We are however always keen to explore opportunities to reduce our impact further. In response to the concerns raised in the consultation process, we will therefore commit to:

- Assess the benefit of including details of noise sensitive areas in the Aeronautical Information Publication
- A review of our NPRs to ensure that routes are having the desired effects in terms of reduced disturbance, whilst maintaining safe operations
- A review of the potential of altering the self-positioning procedures at night, and the possibility of raising these from 2,000ft to 2,500ft.

Financial information

The Government recognises that there is a balance between local disturbance, the limits of social acceptability and economic benefit, and has therefore provided guidance as to financial information that should be included in our Noise Action Plan. Any new noise control measures considered for inclusion in the plan must take account of the cost of implementation and the likely benefit expected to be accrued.

No new noise control measures have been included within this update and review of the plan that fall under the remit of the END and associated legislation.